

# State Interagency Coordinating Council

## Special Meeting Notes

*Wednesday, January 20, 2016*

Dept. of Early Learning  
Olympia, WA

---



### **Attendance:**

#### **Members**

Maryanne Barnes, Chair, Service Provider  
Stacey Bushaw, Health Care Authority (HCA)  
Magan Cromar, King County Human Services  
Rene Denman, Island County Service Provider  
Paul DuBois, Office of the Insurance Commissioner  
Janet George, Department of Services for the Blind  
Lisa Greenwald, Service Provider  
Margaret Gunshows, Tribal Representative  
Suzanne Quigley, Service Provider  
Sugely Sanchez, Parent  
Dr. Daniel Tolson, Military Representative

#### **Members Unable to Attend**

Kylee Allen, HeadStart  
Valerie Arnold, Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI)  
Amy Kocher, Parent  
Darci Ladwig, Parent  
Dr. Diane Liebe, Service Provider  
Maria Nardella, Department of Health  
Rep. David Sawyer, Legislative Representative  
Carla Reyes, DSHS Representative  
Janet Spybrook, Personnel Preparation  
Greg Williamson, State Lead Agency, Department of Early Learning

#### **Early Support for Infant and Toddlers Program Staff Who Participated**

Laurie Thomas, Program Administrator  
Kathy Grant-Davis, Program Consultant  
Adrienne O'Brien, Program Consultant  
Debi Donelan, Program Consultant  
Susan Franck, Program Consultant  
Linda Jennings, Program Specialist

### **Introductions/Announcements:**

---

Maryanne Barnes, Chair, called the meeting to order.

Introductions were made of members present. Laurie Thomas also welcomed the group and gave a brief overview of the plan for the day.

### **Review ESIT Annual Performance Report (APR)**

---

Susan Franck provided an introduction to the GRADS 360 reporting format which ESIT uses to submit the annual performance report (APR) to OSEP. Of all the findings from the FY12 APR, ESIT did not have any action required for during FY13 and all FY 13 findings were corrected within the year which means there is no further action needed.

FY 14 child count data: 13,683 kids served, up from last year's count of 12,850 for a 6.5% increase.

**Compliance Indicators:** The following data was pulled for January, February and March of 2015

**Indicator 1: Timely receipt of Services:** Did not meet the target of 100% but no slippage

**Indicator 7: 45 day timeline:** Did not meet the target of 100% and slippage was identified.

- *State explanation:* Most of the slippage occurred between four counties; two densely populated and two rural. These counties reported capacity issues with staffing.
- *SICC input:* King County has had a higher number of evaluations for children in foster care. This may account for challenges with completing timely evaluations, causing missing the 45 day timeline in King County.

**Indicator 8: Early childhood Transition:**

- 8A: Transition plan. Reached target of 100%.
- 8B: Notification to LEA and SEA. Reached target of 100%
- 8C: Transition conference. Did not reach target of 100%, reached 96.92%. No slippage from last year.

**Performance Indicators:** The following data was pulled for July 1, 2014 –June30, 2015

**Indicator 2: settings:** Exceeded target of 92.5%, reached 94.49%

**Indicator 3: infant and toddler outcome:**

- 3A: Positive social-emotional skills. Did not reach target and found slippage.
- 3B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills. Exceeded target is SSI, did not meet target in SS2 and found slippage.
- 3C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet needs. Did not meet targets and found slippage in both SS1 and SS2.

## SICC Special Meeting Notes

January 20, 2016

Page 3 of 3

- *State explanation:* More accurate COS ratings from providers may have led to decreased ratings overall. State staff is working to make this process more reliable across programs/providers. Children included in this data have been enrolled at least 6 months, children who are receiving both EI and private services are counted.
- *SICC input:* There has been an increase in the number of children identified with autism in the last few years as a result of the implementation of universal developmental screenings (this may only truly be impacting data from a few areas).

### Indicator 4: family outcomes:

- Families know their rights. Did not reach target.
- Families are able to effectively communicate their children's needs. Did not reach target, slippage identified.
- Families are able to help their children develop and learn. Did not reach target.
  - *State explanation:* This information is gathered through family surveys. The data is being more accurately captured with a higher return rate on the survey. However, this return rate (37%) is still a concern. State staff would like to use research based methods for conducting the survey including incentives, system for coding for anonymity and follow up methods.
  - *SICC input:* Contracting out for the survey development and administration has been used in the field for other surveys and has been successful. The Thought Exchange was given as a good example. The contractor can also perform data analysis. It would be helpful for providers if the data could be pulled out based on the service area. It is available now for larger service areas that have a county code. The current survey may be too long; research has shown that one page is ideal for a high response rate. The current format could change to bring it closer to one page.

Indicator 5: Child find birth to 1: While we did exceed the target, the previous data did not capture every child so the increase is not as great as the data indicate. There were approximately 300 children missing from the data count from 2011 to 2014. This has been addressed and is not an issue for this latest count.

Indicator 6: Child find birth to three: Exceeded the target. This is a real increase; the 300 children were always included in this data set.

Indicator 9 & 10: dispute resolution: There were no disputes, no data to report.

Indicator 11: State Systemic Improvement Plan (SSIP): ESIT will submit Phase II to OSEP on April 1, 2016. SICC will have an opportunity to review SSIP Phase II at a special SICC meeting on March 2, 2016.

### **Next SICC Meeting Date:**

***Wednesday, February 24, 2016***

9:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m. with a working lunch

**Thurston County Fairgrounds – Expo Center**